



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,145	08/18/2003	James H. Stephens JR.	FOR03012	3310
75	90 06/08/2005		EXAM	INER
Robert W. Holland HAMILTON & TERRILE, LLP			CHAN, WING F	
PO Box 203518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78720			2643	

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/643,145	STEPHENS, JAMES H.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wing F. Chan	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' y statute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FINS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the application is/are pending in the application is/are with a subject to restriction is a subject to restriction.	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 August 2003 is)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	-	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		5 mos / total of form 1 10-102.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Aperiority documents have been received in Aperiority documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, line 11 "the video call information" lacks antecedent basis, it appears that it should be 'conference information' to be correct.

Dependent claims 2-16 are also rejected for the same reason since they are dependent on a rejected base claim and contain the same problem(s).

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,633,324. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,145

Art Unit: 2643

distinct from each other because the present claims are directed to the same invention albeit in obvious alternate language.

For example, consider patent claim 1 and present claim 1.

Patent claim 1:	Present claim 1:	
A method for configuring video calls, the	A method for configuring a conference	
method comprising:	among communications devices, the	
	method comprising:	
querying a platform with video call	querying a platform with conference	
information for establishing a video call	information for establishing a conference	
between plural video and points;	between plural communication devices;	
providing the video call information to a	providing the conference information to a	
rules-based engine;	rules-based engine;	
and applying rules and device data with	and applying rules and communication	
the rules-based engine to determine one	device data with the rules-based engine to	
or more video call configurations for a	determine one or more communication	
video call corresponding to the video call	device configurations for a conference	
information.	corresponding to the video call	
	information.	

As can be seen from the above comparison, present claim 1 is similar and obvious over patent claim 1 where the present claim recites conference and

Art Unit: 2643

communication devices while the patent claim recites video calls and video end points instead. However, present claim 1 and patent claim 1 are directed to the same invention and are not patentably distinct from each other. As disclosed in col. 4 lines 21-28 of the patent specification, the video calls are for establishing video conferences. Therefore, in view of this definition of the patentee, the terms "video call" and "conferences", "video endpoints" and "communication devices" are the same and interchangeable, therefore to replace "video call" with "conferences", "video endpoints" with "communication devices" as in present claim 1 would have been obvious over patent claim 1 and are not patentably distinct from each other and in the absence of a terminal disclaimer would result in possible harassment by multiple assignees.

Although only exemplary claim 1 is discussed above, the above analysis is applicable to the other claims. Furthermore, since the claim is in comprising format it is open to cover other non-claimed features; the features of the dependent claims which are dependent on the other independent claims are disclosed in the patent and covered by the comprising format of patent claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wing F. Chan whose telephone number is 571-272 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wing F. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2643

6/3/05